



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/002,508

11/02/2001

Ralph H. Reese

33267.00005.CON

6178

36183

7590

02/19/2009

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP  
875 15th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

EXAMINER

PHAN, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/19/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/002,508	<b>Applicant(s)</b> REESE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOSEPH T. PHAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 79-99 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 79-99 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 79-99 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Claims 79-81, 83-88, 90-94, 96-97, and 99 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maloney et al, Patent #5,555,299.**

Regarding claims 79 and 90, Maloney teaches a system(Fig.2) and method for responding to a request for information, the system comprising:  
an interactive voice response unit (IVR) adapted to identify a requestor during a call(18 Fig.2, col.4 lines 7-8, and col.9 lines 14-19),  
querying the requestor for information during a call, receiving from the requestor the requested information(col.9 lines 13-24);  
a database coupled to the IVR and adapted to store a unit of work record, the unit of work record including the information received by said IVR and other existing data pertaining to the requestor(64 Fig.2, col.5 lines 60-67, and col.9 lines 30-54);  
a server coupled to the IVR and the database(28/58 Fig.2); and  
a workstation coupled to the server, the workstation adapted to receive the unit of work record

Art Unit: 2614

during contact with said requestor(54 Fig.2 and col.9 lines 48-65);

wherein the IVR dynamically decides an additional query to ask the requestor during the call based upon the information already received from the requestor and based upon the other existing data pertaining to the requestor that has been obtained from an additional source(col.10 lines 2-16);

wherein the system provides the caller with a resolution to the request for information during the call based upon the unit of work record(col.10 lines 16-24).

Regarding claim 80, Maloney teaches the method for responding to a request for information of claim 79, further comprising retrieving data from the additional source, wherein the additional source is a local database(64 Fig.2 and lines col.5 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 81, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 79, further comprising retrieving data from the additional source, wherein the additional source is an external database(64 Fig.2 and lines col.5 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 83, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 81, further comprising forwarding the unit of work record to a receiver during the call(col.9 lines 46-67).

Regarding claim 84, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 79, further comprising updating the unit of work record with information each time the information is received from the requestor(col.9 line 46-col.10 line 37)

Regarding claim 85, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 79, wherein the unit of work record is updated to include information from a current contact with the requestor as well as information about a past contact with the requestor(col.10

lines 2-65).

Regarding claim 86, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 79, further comprising transferring information from a database to a receiver such that the receiver receives the unit of work record and the received information while still in contact with the receiver(col.10 lines 2-65)..

Regarding claim 87, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 79, wherein the requestor is informed of the resolution to the request for information by a receiver, an interactive voice response unit or an agent(col.10 lines 2-65).

Regarding claim 88, Maloney teaches method for responding to a request for information of claim 87, further comprising comparing the information received from the requestor to the other existing data accessed from the additional source(col.10 lines 2-65).

Regarding claim 91, Maloney teaches system for responding to the request for information of claim 90, further comprising a database server coupled to the server and the database(64 Fig.2 and lines col.5 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 92, Maloney teaches system for responding to the request for information of claim 90, further comprising a contact management server coupled to the server and the database(58 Fig.2)

Regarding claim 93, Maloney teaches system for responding to the request for information of claim 90, wherein the server is coupled to an external database(64 Fig.2 and 76 Fig.3).

Regarding claim 94, Maloney teaches system for responding to a request for information of claim 93, wherein the external database provides additional information that can be stored in

the unit of work record(64 Fig.2 and lines col.5 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 96, Maloney teaches system for responding to a request for information of claim 90, wherein the requestor is informed of the resolution to the request for information(col.10 lines 16-24).

Regarding claim 97, Maloney teaches system for responding to a request for information of claim 96, wherein the requestor is informed of the resolution to the request for information by the IVR, the workstation, or an agent(col.10 lines 16-24).

Regarding claim 99, Maloney teaches system for responding to a request for information of claim 90, where the information received from the requestor is compared to the other existing data accessed from the additional source. ((64 Fig.2 and lines col.5 lines 60-67, and col.10 lines 2-55).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 82, 89, 95, and 98 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney in view of Jones et al., Patent # 5,239,462.**

Regarding claims 82, 89, 95, and 98 Maloney teaches the methods and systems of claims 81, 87, 94, and 97.

Maloney is silent on specifically disclosing if the additional source includes a credit score or history and wherein the resolution to the request for information is selected from the group

consisting of an approval or denial of a loan or credit application, an adjustment to a credit limit, an offer, and a negotiation provision.

However, Jones discloses credit history and scoring information and wherein the information is selected from the group consisting of an approval or denial of a loan or credit application, an adjustment to a credit limit, an offer, and a negotiation provision(20-28 Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include Jones' approval status and credit information into Maloney system. One would have been motivated to do so as Maloney discloses of caller's/customer records(col.6 lines 7-16) and credit information are old and well-known customer records as taught by Jones and merely adding this software functionality into Maloney system would make the caller's request and call more efficient(see background info in Maloney col.9 lines 36-46).

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2614

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH T. PHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7544. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am-6:30pm EST, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. T. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/CURTIS KUNTZ/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614